

CURRENT ISSUES IN THE VALUATION OF NEWSPAPER PRINTING ASSETS

MFM/BCCA Annual Conference
Miami, Florida
May 21, 2014

Bond & Pecaro, Inc.

1909 K St., NW – Suite 320

Washington, DC 20006

(202) 775-8870

www.bondpecaro.com

What is at Stake?

- Huge sums invested in large and modern press systems prior to 2007, either through direct purchase or a business combination.
- Book values and assessed values for property tax purposes reflect the high prices paid at the peak of the market.
- Standard depreciation and residual value factors do not reflect the dramatic forces that have affected the fair market value of printing assets.
- Problem: demonstrable evidence was limited to support departure from conventional depreciation schedules.

The Bond & Pecaro Study

- Bond & Pecaro, Inc. conducted industry research and also joined with the Newspaper Association of America (“NAA”) to conduct research regarding actual sales of printing presses in the secondary market.
- Results include over 26 transactions during the 2007-2014 time frame.
- Results will be published in the form of a White Paper on the NAA web site.

Key Findings

- Newspaper print advertising has dropped more than 65% since 2005 and newsprint consumption has fallen by 57%
- Newspapers have been transitioning to digital and mobile platforms over the past ten years
- Economic and technological factors have forced newspapers to consolidate printing operations, leading to closure of printing operations and flooding the market with excess presses and ancillary equipment
- Printing press manufacturers have downsized production as a result of low demand
- Secondary market for printing presses is depressed with values close to zero and in many cases negative due to the high cost of removal and remediation.

Three Approaches to Value Can Be Considered – Better Market Data Can Support All Three

- **Replacement Cost Approach** – Analyze data based on current replacement cost new from manufacturers and then make adjustments for depreciation, obsolescence, economic factors, etc.
- **Market Approach** – Analyze actual sales of similar assets in the marketplace
- **Income Approach** – Appraisal of a personal property asset based on the income it produces. Rarely used due to difficulty of assigning value to a particular asset, but can have some utility.

Issue 1: Definition of Value

- Remember – different states have different rules
- Is value from the perspective of a buyer or a seller?
- Item is valued as if in place and in use
- Therefore, installation needs to be considered
- Current market suggests that you could get a press for free – but shipping and installation costs can be significant

Issue 2: Capacity Utilization

- We need to think critically – is capacity utilization measured at the market level or the plant level?
- Capacity Utilization Formula –
$$[1 - ((\text{Actual Production} / \text{Capacity})^{\text{Scaling Factor}}) * 100$$
- Economic Obsolescence Factor at 75% Utilization
$$[1 - ((7,500 / 10,000)^{0.6}) * 100 = 15.8\%$$
- Economic Obsolescence Factor at 35% Utilization
$$[1 - ((3,500 / 10,000)^{0.6}) * 100 = 46.7\%$$
- Market level is most relevant here in this case – example of the four press market.

Sample Replacement Cost Valuation

Depreciated Replacement Cost Calculation	Plant Level	Market Level
List Installed Replacement Cost per Manufacturer as of Valuation Date	\$25,000,000	\$25,000,000
Less: Estimated Discount from List Price	15%	15%
Discounted Installed Replacement Cost per Manufacturer Valuation Date	\$21,250,000	\$21,250,000
Plus: Applicable State Sales Tax @ 8.0%	1,700,000	1,700,000
Total Replacement Cost	22,950,000	22,950,000
Physical Depreciation Factor with 5% Salvage Value	44%	44%
Depreciated Replacement Cost	\$12,852,000	\$12,852,000
Functional Obsolescence Depreciation Factor	12.5%	12.5%
Adjusted Depreciated Replacement Cost	\$11,245,500	\$11,245,500
Economic Obsolescence Depreciation Factor	15.8%	46.7%
Total Depreciated Replacement Cost (Rounded)	\$9,500,000	\$6,000,000

Issue 3: Income Approach

- Typically not employed in personal property valuations
- But can be useful in setting context
- History of losses can raise questions about the value of any constituent assets associated with a business
- Income approach has been employed by our firm using a small weighting

Reconciliation of Approaches

	Value	Weight	Weighed Value
Depreciated Replacement Cost	\$6,000,000	20%	\$1,200,000
Market Approach	\$5,700,000	70%	\$3,990,000
Income Approach	\$0	10%	\$0
Reconciliation			\$5,190,000

CURRENT ISSUES IN THE VALUATION OF NEWSPAPER PRINTING ASSETS

MFM/BCCA Annual Conference
Miami, Florida
May 20, 2014

Bond & Pecaro, Inc.
1909 K St., NW – Suite 320
Washington, DC 20006
(202) 775-8870
www.bondpecaro.com